# **Pupil Premium Strategy Statement**

1. Summary information							
School	Bramley I	Park Academy					
Academic Year	2018-19	Total PP budget	£189,000	Date of most recent PP Review	N/a		
Total number of pupils	335	Number of pupils eligible for PP	172	Date for next internal review of this strategy	Oct 19		

2. Cur	rent attainment				
		Pupils eligible for PP (your school)	Pupils <b>not</b> eligible for PP (national average)		
Proportion achieving expected attainment or above in reading, writing & maths		47%	70%		
Averag	e progress score in reading	-3.11	+0.31		
Average progress score in writing (TA) +0.24					
Averag	Average progress score in maths +0.31				
3. Bar	riers to future attainment (ie an increased likelihood that pupils eligible	for PP will exhibit these fact	ors)		
In-scho	pol barriers (issues to be addressed in school, such as poor oral language sl	kills)			
A. Higher expectations for school performance and historic underperformance have widened gaps in understanding basic concepts, leading to poor outcomes for progress and attainment for eligible pupils against in school data and versus National.					
B.	Oral language skills of PP children in EY and on entry identified via baseli	ine data			
Ext	ernal barriers (issues which also require action outside school, such as low	attendance rates)			
E. Attendance and persistent absence rates inhibit access to regular quality first teaching					
F. Significant social deprivation and need to access external agencies and support impacts on families and individuals					

4. D	esired outcomes (Desired outcomes and how they will be measured)	Success criteria
A.	Diminishing average achievement difference between 'Disadvantaged' and 'Other' pupils in all year groups as identified through internal tracking and data analysis. (IDSR, Diagnostic termly testing, class provision maps, Target Tracker).	Internal data to show diminishing differences between 'Disadvantaged' and Other pupils throughout the school, taking into account individual pupils' varied starting points and cognitive ability.
		Statutory outcomes at ELG, Phonics Year 1 check, Phonics Year 2 re-check, KS1 attainment, KS2 attainment and KS2 progress show significant uplift towards NA – as outlined in targets in the 'Plan on a page'.
B.	Increased attendance and consistently positive behaviours for learning evident for the vast majority of targeted pupils. (Arbor attendance data, CPOMS)	The difference between attendance data for PP and non-PP pupils continues to diminish over time. Attendance average for PP children is consistently (6 months +) above 95%  Persistent attendance (>10%) for the group ESM is reduced.
C.	An inclusive approach to extra-curricular activities provides enhancement and enrichment for all pupils eligible for PP	for the group FSM is reduced below 10%  Access for all eligible pupils to enrichment, extra-curricular

		activities, school trips and residential visits
D.	Individual learning needs of eligible pupils are clearly planned for in lessons and assessed via Target Tracker and pupil progress updates. Targeted interventions have a positive impact on pupil progress	Planning and teaching addresses the needs of all pupils, setting high expectations and clear next steps. Statutory outcomes reflect improved outcomes in attainment and progress.
E.	Use of pastoral team to engage with hard to reach parents, encourage participation with pre and post school opportunities, improved attendance and engagement with school.	Highly effective emotional, social and behavioural support in place to meet individual and family needs
F.	Early language support and intervention for children in EY who are eligible for PP	Language acquisition of PP children is in line with National equivalent at end of EYFS.
5. Plani	ned expenditure	

### Academic year

### 2018-19 (estimated costs for 2 terms only – approx. £)

The three headings below enable schools to demonstrate how they are using the Pupil Premium to improve classroom pedagogy, provide targeted support and support whole school strategies

## i. Quality of teaching for all (including evidence-based, focused group learning delivered by support staff)

Desired outcome	Chosen action / approach	What is the evidence and rationale for this choice?	How will you ensure it is implemented well?	Staff lead	When will you review
Diminishing average achievement difference between 'Disadvantaged' and 'Other' pupils in all year groups as identified through internal tracking and data analysis. (IDSR, Diagnostic termly testing, class provision maps, Target Tracker).	Non contact time for SLT to evaluate data, teaching and learning and model excellent practice as lead practitioners. £11,797.65	Robust analysis of internal tracking and statutory outcomes in order to have whole school focus and coaching model to improve practice from Hattie (2014) Ch 12, & effective identification Ofsted (2013)	SLT and HT to monitor delivery and impact with reports to Governors and Trust via assurance framework and data requests.	SLT and HT	All reviews July 2019 in the first instance
Individual learning needs of eligible pupils are clearly planned for in lessons and assessed via Target Tracker and pupil progress updates. Targeted interventions have a positive impact on pupil progress	Staff training in key areas to support and challenge PP pupils. To include external expertise and CPD/Networking opportunities.E.g., work of Clive Davis in Maths and LLE support - £3060	The quality of first teaching is thought to have a disproportionately high effect on PP pupils, and effective CPD / training is a precursor to this.	Consider additional training for all teachers in how to deploy TAs in the classroom.  HT to ensure that appropriate areas for training are identified and input delivered effectively based		

	Use of additional Trust capacity to ensure data capture is rigorous, aligned to key areas for focus and develops a series of interventions and QFT that accelerates the progress of PP learners. £3783	Schools that use PP most effectively identify those pupils who are underachieving. The school can only target the correct interventions at pupils with the most detailed knowledge of their specific needs. Ofsted 2013.	on internal tracking and statutory data analysis.  WAF to identify additional AP capacity as appropriate.	
Early language support and intervention for children in EY who are eligible for PP	A range of quality resources and interventions to be used to identify and tackle poor oracy and communications skills including Spread the Happiness - £1192	EEF – 'On average, children who are involved in communications and language approaches make approximately six months additional progress over the course of a year'.	EY lead to provide termly updates on the progress of children from their various starting points.	
An inclusive approach to extra-curricular activities provides enhancement and	Subsidising the set up and running of extra curricular pre	Case studies from Ofsted 2013 describe broadening social experiences conducive to improved learning behaviour and	Pupil voice/parental feedback can be used to evidence impact.	

enrichment for all pupils eligible for PP	and post school opportunities. Contribution towards the cost of residential and non-residential educational visits for targeted eligible pupils.	development of social and emotional skills. (p.18)		
Use of pastoral team to engage with hard to reach parents, encourage participation with pre and post school opportunities, improved attendance and engagement with school.	Pastoral team costs to support the PP population – with regard to their attendance and home school liaison. Buy back in to Bramley cluster and associated social work pathways.  £28,315.45	Supporting children with challenging behaviour (2011) shows effectiveness of nurture group provision, when allied to academic outcomes. Evidence of effectiveness from Ofsted 2013, (P.19)	Clear and open lines of communication ensure leaders have the information around attendance, punctuality and home/school liaison. Use of CPOMS and Arbor to facilitate electronically.	
Increased attendance and consistently positive behaviours for learning evident for the vast majority of targeted pupils. (Arbor attendance data, CPOMS)	Staffing for pastoral team and resources Breakfast club targeted at disadvantaged families £16,323.07	Attendance and punctuality correlated with attainment (Ofsted 2013 _ how schools are spending the funding, p.18) EEF report on breakfast clubs November 2016	Pastoral team accountable to HT and attendance data/take up analysed termly for targeted children. Reports from Pupil progress meetings include outcomes of improved attendance, punctuality and behaviour issues.	

	Supply of new school		Internal assurance via LG	В		
	uniforms –		reports and also externall	y		
	£16,727.00		via Trust WAF and ADG			
			groups.			
	Aubau liaanaa ta ba					
	Arbor licence to be					
	used to monitor					
	attendance and					
	punctuality and					
	provide real time					
	information for					
	leaders.					
	£4,311.00					
			Total	budget	ed cost	£85,509.17
ii. Targeted support						
Desired outcome	Chosen action /	What is the evidence and	How will you ensure it	Staff	Whe	en will you review
	approach	rationale for this choice?	is implemented well?	lead		lementation?
Individual learning	IT licences along	Robust analysis of internal	Identified children			
needs of eligible pupils	with subscriptions to,	tracking and statutory	through Pupil Progress			
are clearly planned for	e.g., Read, Write, Inc	outcomes in order to have	meetings and			
in lessons and	and Bugclub to be	whole school focus and	effectiveness underlined			
assessed via Target	used to target the	coaching model to improve	by internal tracking and			
Tracker and pupil	core skills of pupils.	practice from Hattie (2014)	statutory results. Use of			
progress updates.	£5132	Ch 12	Arbor and School			
			Development plan to			
Targeted interventions	Liaison with		monitor.			
have a positive impact	SENDCO to ensure					
on pupil progress	those eligible for PP					
	and also with					

		I	Total bud	dgeted cost	£191,613.67
Desired outcome	Chosen action / approach	What is the evidence and rationale for this choice?	How will you ensure it is implemented well?	Staff lead	When will you review implementation?
iii. Other approach	es	I		<del></del>	T
			Total bud	dgeted cost	£106102.80
	£36,646.00				
	£29,316.80				
	progress. £35,008.00				
	per phase group to accelerate and target				
	additional teachers				
	Facilitation of				
	resources required.				
	support and				
	additional needs have the specialist				

#### **Next steps**

- Ensure that the pupil premium action plan is shared with all senior leaders and becomes a working document for SLT and Governors.
- Ensure that the system of link Governors has an appropriate Pupil Premium champion to query outcomes, and ask specific questions of leadership over achievements.

- Tweak the pupil progress action plans to add Pupil Premium provision
- Ensure all data presented to teachers, Governors and external visitors has separate Pupil Premium section
- Commit to a self review of Pupil premium strategies as per the Teaching school's council effective PP review document and share this
  with EP
- Collate data from pastoral team to show improved attendance/engagement at Breakfast club/Year Six/After school clubs
- Consider how to quality assurance additional tuition/intervention groups that are funded by Pupil premium to ensure value for money and impact on outcomes
- Fortnightly commentary section to evidence progress
- Consider the appointment of a Pupil Premium champion amongst the staff who can collate information, monitor progress of plan and report directly to leadership.