Pupil Premium Strategy Statement

1. Summary information						
School	School Bramley Park Academy					
Academic Year	2019-20	Total PP budget	£228,360	Date of most recent PP Review	N/a	
Total number of pupils	298	Number of pupils eligible for PP	160 – 54%	Date for next internal review of this strategy	Oct 20	

2. Current attainment					
		Pupils eligible for PP (your school)	Pupils not eligible for PP (national average)		
Proportion achieving expected attainment or above in reading, writing & maths		43%	70%		
Averag	e progress score in reading	-0.14	+0.31		
Averag	e progress score in writing (TA)	-1.09	+0.24		
Averag	Average progress score in maths +0.31				
3. Baı	riers to future attainment (ie an increased likelihood that pupils eligible	for PP will exhibit these fact	ors)		
In-scho	bol barriers (issues to be addressed in school, such as poor oral language s	kills)			
A.	A. Higher expectations for school performance and historic underperformance have widened gaps in understanding basic concepts, leading to poor outcomes for progress and attainment for eligible pupils against in school data and versus National.				
В.	B. Oral language skills of PP children in EY and on entry identified via baseline data				
Ext	ernal barriers (issues which also require action outside school, such as low	attendance rates)			
E. Attendance and persistent absence rates inhibit access to regular quality first teaching					
F. Significant social deprivation and need to access external agencies and support impacts on families and individuals					

4. D	esired outcomes (Desired outcomes and how they will be measured)	Success criteria
A.	Diminishing average achievement difference between 'Disadvantaged' and 'Other' pupils in all year groups as identified through internal tracking and data analysis. (IDSR, Diagnostic termly testing, class provision maps, Target Tracker).	Internal data to show diminishing differences between 'Disadvantaged' and Other pupils throughout the school, considering individual pupils' varied starting points and cognitive ability.
		Statutory outcomes at ELG, Phonics Year 1 check, Phonics Year 2 re-check, KS1 attainment, KS2 attainment and KS2 progress show significant uplift towards NA – as outlined in targets in the 'Plan on a page'.
В.	Increased attendance and consistently positive behaviours for learning evident for the vast majority of targeted pupils. (Arbor attendance data, CPOMS)	The difference between attendance data for PP and non-PP pupils continues to diminish over time. Attendance average for PP children is consistently (6 months +) above 95% Persistent attendance (>10%) for the group FSM is reduced
C.	An inclusive approach to extra-curricular activities provides enhancement and enrichment for all pupils eligible for PP	below 10% Access for all eligible pupils to enrichment, extra-curricular

		activities, school trips and residential visits
D.	Individual learning needs of eligible pupils are clearly planned for in lessons and assessed via Target Tracker and pupil progress updates. Targeted interventions have a positive impact on pupil progress	Planning and teaching addresses the needs of all pupils, setting high expectations and clear next steps. Statutory outcomes reflect improved outcomes in attainment and progress.
E.	Continued use of pastoral team to engage with hard to reach parents, encourage participation with pre and post school opportunities, improved attendance and engagement with school.	Highly effective emotional, social and behavioural support in place to meet individual and family needs
F.	Early language support and intervention for children in EY who are eligible for PP	Language acquisition of PP children is in line with National equivalent at end of EYFS.

G.	Robust approach to the deployment of PP premium through the newly appointed Governing Boo
	Governing Body are full away and challenge the spend in line with impact of outcomes for PP
	pupils.

Governing Body are robust in the accountability spending and impact of PP allocation to BPA.

5. Planned expenditure

Academic year

2019-20 (estimated costs for 2 terms only – approx. £)

The three headings below enable schools to demonstrate how they are using the Pupil Premium to improve classroom pedagogy, provide targeted support and support whole school strategies

i. Quality of teaching for all (including evidence-based, focused group learning delivered by support staff)

Desired outcome	Chosen action / approach	What is the evidence and rationale for this choice?	How will you ensure it is implemented well?	Staff lead	When will you review
To continue to diminish average achievement difference between 'Disadvantaged' and 'Other' pupils in all year groups as identified through internal tracking and data analysis. (IDSR, Diagnostic termly testing, class provision maps, Target Tracker).	Non-contact time for SLT to evaluate data, teaching and learning and model excellent practice as lead practitioners. £10,481.41	Robust analysis of internal tracking and statutory outcomes in order to have whole school focus and coaching model to improve practice from Hattie (2014) Ch 12, & effective identification Ofsted (2013)	SLT and HT to monitor delivery and impact with reports to Governors and Trust via assurance framework and data requests.	SLT and HT	All reviews July 2020 in the first instance
Individual learning needs of eligible pupils are clearly planned for in lessons and assessed via Target	Staff training in key areas to support and challenge PP pupils.	The quality of first teaching is thought to have a disproportionately high effect on PP pupils, and	Consider additional training for all teachers in how to deploy TAs in the classroom.		

Tracker and pupil progress updates. Targeted interventions have a positive impact on pupil progress	To include expertise and CPD/Networking opportunities. Use of additional Trust capacity to ensure data capture is rigorous, aligned to key areas for focus and develops a series of interventions and QFT that accelerates the progress of PP learners.	effective CPD / training is a precursor to this. Schools that use PP most effectively identify those pupils who are underachieving. The school can only target the correct interventions at pupils with the most detailed knowledge of their specific needs. Ofsted 2013.	HT to ensure that appropriate areas for training are identified and input delivered effectively based on internal tracking and statutory data analysis. WAF to identify additional AP capacity as appropriate.	
Early language support and intervention for children in EY who are eligible for PP through targeted QFT	A range of quality resources and interventions to be used to identify and tackle poor oracy and communications skills including deployment of UPS1 staff - £29,316.80	EEF – 'On average, children who are involved in communications and language approaches make approximately six months additional progress over the course of a year'.	EY lead to provide termly updates on the progress of children from their various starting points through structured QA profile	
An inclusive approach to extra-curricular activities provides enhancement and	Subsidising the set up and running of extracurricular pre	Case studies from Ofsted 2013 describe broadening social experiences conducive to improved	Pupil voice/parental feedback can be used to evidence impact.	

enrichment for all pupils eligible for PP	and post school opportunities. Full contribution towards the cost of residential and non-residential educational visits for targeted eligible pupils. £19,787.36	learning behaviour and development of social and emotional skills. (p.18)		
Continued use of pastoral team to engage with hard to reach parents, encourage participation with pre and post school opportunities, improved attendance and engagement with school.	Pastoral team costs to support the PP population – with regard to their attendance and home school liaison. Buy back in to Bramley cluster and associated social work pathways. £28,882.06	Supporting children with challenging behaviour (2011) shows effectiveness of nurture group provision, when allied to academic outcomes. Evidence of effectiveness from Ofsted 2013, (P.19)	Clear and open lines of communication ensure leaders have the information around attendance, punctuality and home/school liaison. Use of CPOMS and Arbor to facilitate electronically.	
Continued increased attendance and consistently positive behaviours for learning evident for the vast majority of targeted pupils. (Arbor attendance data, CPOMS) Clear	Staffing for pastoral team and resources Breakfast club targeted at disadvantaged families £17.029.37	Attendance and punctuality correlated with attainment (Ofsted 2013 _ how schools are spending the funding, p.18) EEF report on breakfast clubs November 2016	Pastoral team accountable to HT and attendance data/take up analysed termly for targeted children. Reports from Pupil progress meetings include outcomes of improved attendance, punctuality and behaviour issues.	

evidence to be avlaible	Supply of new school		linta mada a sa mana a si a 1 C	D	
of targeted group	uniforms and PE kit		Internal assurance via LG		
	to remove barriers		reports and also externally via Trust WAF and ADG	/	
	for the vast majority				
	of pupils.		groups.		
	£15,000.00				
	Arbor licence to be				
	used to monitor				
	attendance and				
	punctuality and				
	provide real time				
	information for				
	leaders.				
	£4,311.00				
			Total	budgeted cos	£114,326.59
ii. Targeted support					·
Desired outcome	Chosen action / approach	What is the evidence and rationale for this choice?	How will you ensure it is implemented well?		hen will you review nplementation?
Individual learning	IT licences along	Robust analysis of internal	Identified children		
needs of eligible pupils	with enhancement of	tracking and statutory	through Pupil Progress		
are clearly planned for	school library to, e.g.,	outcomes in order to have	meetings and		
in lessons and	and Bug club to be	whole school focus and	effectiveness underlined		
assessed via Target	used to target the	coaching model to improve	by internal tracking and		
Tracker and pupil	core skills of pupils. £5000	practice from Hattie (2014)	statutory results. Use of		
progress updates.	23000	Ch 12	Arbor and School		

				lgeted cost	£228,360
	approach	rationale for this choice?	is implemented well?	lead	implementation?
Desired outcome	Chosen action /	What is the evidence and	How will you ensure it	Staff	When will you review
iii. Other approach	es				
			Total bud	lgeted cost	£103,552.00
	£36,646 – UPS1				
	£29,780 – M4				
	progress. £32, 126.00 – M5				
	accelerate and target				
	per phase group to				
	additional teachers				
	Facilitation of				
	£3665				
	Thrive program -				
	required including				
	and resources				
	specialist support				
	needs have the				
on pupil progress	those eligible for PP and with additional				
have a positive impa			monitor.		
Targeted intervention			Development plan to		

- Ensure that the pupil premium action plan is shared with newly formed Governing Body and they are robust in the accountability of the spending.
- Ensure that the system of link Governors has an appropriate Pupil Premium champion to query outcomes and ask specific questions of leadership over achievements.
- Ensure all data presented to teachers, Governors and external visitors has separate Pupil Premium section
- Consider how to quality assurance additional tuition/intervention groups that are funded by Pupil premium to ensure value for money and impact on outcomes
- Establish a Pupil Premium champion amongst the staff who can collate information, monitor progress of plan and report directly to leadership.